P. F. 10 of 92

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1891-92.

192 .

(a. R)

File No. 128 of 9

Serial Nos. 1—11

Later references.

File No. 549 of 1902

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1—11

Major ~~Part~~ B for November 1902

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Chandrasekharaih of Soorapalli Village, Bagepalli Taluk Regarding the Remission of Chowthaji

WD 2233—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

Noted

3

4 The Dy Commr was inform
in reply that he should
place himself in communica
with the Survey Supt who
should submit his findin
as to whether the tanks
under reference Thd or th
not be considered as priv
enterprise tanks, with
reasons for the same.

The Survey Supt in
his docket to the Dy Comm
D 26th ~~Septem~~ November 189
remarked that the tanks
had been recognized as
private enterprise tanks
and a chowthaya remission
of Yr wet assessment
in respect the following

C Nos.	Extent	Assmt
163	2.14	14
142	4.9	25
149	4.16	27
348	6.21	3
	11.20	69

had been recommended to G

5. The Survey Supt. having submitted his finding though not his reasons for the same; it remains for Govt. to sanction the chowthayi remission of Rs 17-4-0 to the petr. " 1/4 of Rs 69.

The Dy. Commr. will then collect the balance of revenue arrears in the usual course.

Submitted to the Rev. Secretary with a previous precis

M.T. 6/7/95

Where as the Survey Supt. [illegible] Govt. [illegible] in the [illegible] this [illegible] be transmitted to that [illegible]

8/7/95

Note continued

The Survey Supt.'s letter to Govt. viz: 380 of 30.8.88 is the Sl. no: 21 in Files 1, 2, + 3. -

~~[illegible]~~ no: 1637/420 of 28.10.95, from the Comr., Kolar, ~~made a reference~~ is

1920 V

6

Letter from the Deputy Commissioner of the Kolar District, dated 9th July 1891, No $\frac{1095}{264}$, submitting file of records in re the Contention of Chendrase-Khariah of Soorappalli village in the Bagenahally Taluk, for Chowthayee remission of assessment on wet lands irrigated by the tanks constructed by his father and brother, and recommending that the Concession prayed for may be shown to him, provided he puts the tanks in thorough repair and maintains them in an efficient state at all times.

The facts of the Case are as follow:—

1. The puttah of the lands for which the remission of $\frac{1}{4}$ assessment is claimed, stands in the joint names of Mallikarjoomah and one Narasappa

Narasappa. Mallikar-
-joomiah is stated to be
a minor and his uncle
Chendrasekhariah the
appellant and the said
Narasappa are alleged
to be in possession of the
lands. The pattah in
the name of Mallikarjoo-
-miah is alleged to be
nominal.

2. Notices were served
on the Puttedar Malli-
-karjoomiah and Chen-
-drasekhariah the appellant
on 5-1-87 and 27-11-87
respectively, demanding
of them payment of
revenue outstanding
in the accounts on
account of the said lands.
The lands and also the
yield of some of them
were attached and
proclamated to be sold
on 7th November 1890.
On this date, Chendrasekhariah
appeared

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Diary No. 228 dy 8-7-04.

(8)

tr., chandrasekharaiya
nittemari, Bagepalli Tk:,
plains of the non-disposal
his case regarding the
ission of chowtayi assess
ent on his wet lands in
palli village.
report, in the matter, is
by the Dy: Comr. to whom
eminder was issued on
2-04. Another reminder
now put up.

Reminder passed
10/7/04

N. B. Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G. R. S. 13

ನಂ. 2909

ಹುಜೂರು ದಿವಾನ್ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

ಅರ್ಜೀದಾರ ಬಾಂಕೆ ಶಲ್ಲ

ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಮಿಡ್ಲೇಪುರಿ ಸಿಚಿಂಗುಂಕಲ್ಲಿ ಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಇರುವ ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರ ಪ್ರಭು ಇವರಿ

ಗೆ ಇಂಥಾರಸು ಹುಕುಂ. ಬಾಂಕೆಶಲ್ಲ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

ಸುಜಾರಾದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಬೆಟ್ಟ ದೇವರಕುಂಬಿ ಮತ್ತು ಹೊಂಕ ಕೆರೆ ಎಂಬ ಎರಡು ಸ್ಥಳಗಳಲ್ಲಿ

ಕೆಳಗೆ ಇರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಬಿಟ್ಟಿ ಗದ್ದೆಗಳನ್ನು ಚೌಥಾಯಿ ತಿರುಪಟ್ಟಿ ಕೊಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೇಳಿದ್ದು

ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿದ 1891ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್ ತಾ. 4 ನೇ ಮುಕ್ಕುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿ

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಮಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕಾದದ್ದೇನೆಂದರೆ ಆದರಿಕೆಯ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಇರುವ ~~ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್ 138, 142, 149, 34~~

~~ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರಗಳ ಸ್ಥಳ ಮಿಕ್ಕಿ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಚೌಥಾಯಿ ತಿರುಪಟ್ಟಿ ಕೊಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ~~

ಬಿಟ್ಟಿ ಗದ್ದೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ

ಬತಾರೀಖು 15 ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ ಸಂ ೧೮ ಸರ್ 1902 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ದರಜಿಮಾ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

L.R. 128-91-11

To issue from Petn Br

25/10/02

By Order,

Secretary.

No. V

Office - note

Petn no 2909 of 1890-91

Petitioner - Chandrasekaran of Loorapalli Bagepalli taluk

Petitioner is the uncle and guardian of Mallikarjuniah, a minor who along with one Narsappa are joint pattadars of the lands for which a chowthays remission of 1/4 assessment is claimed on the grounds that the two tanks irrigating the lands are private enterprise tanks.

5. The Survey Supt. having submitted his finding thought not his reasons for the same; it remains for Govt. to sanction the Chowthaya remission of Rs 17-4-0 to the pet.? 1/4 of Rs 69.

The Dy Comm.r will then collect the balance of revenue arrears in the usual course.

Submitted to the Rev. Secretary with a previous precis

VMT 6/7/95

[illegible]

Note continued.

The Survey Supt.'s letter to Govt. viz: 380 of 30.8.88 is the Sl. no: 21 in files 1, 2, + 3. -

~~[illegible]~~ no: 1637/420 of 28.10.95, from the Comr., Kolar, ~~made a reference~~ is

a reminder -

The remission recommended by the Impot of Rev Mr in his no 1104 of 11-5-93 requires to be sanctioned -

Subd to the Secretary
[illegible]
15/7/02

× Perhaps

These remissions (including the individual pet^rs) may all be sanctioned. A draft to that effect is submitted for approval.

It is worth considering whether (seeing the generally deteriorated condition of many minor tanks) this ~~policy~~ rule of (Chantays) remissions does not deserve to be liberally construed, and ~~is~~ if possible extended.

[illegible]
2/10/1902

The Dewan through the 1st Councillor

R: 28/10/02 night 5.95

appeared before the Amil-
-dar in person and
applied for stay of sale
procedure by promising
as per Moochalilla dated
7th idem, to pay on the
14th of the same month,
the whole of the arrear, save
the amount he claimed
to be remitted towards
chowthagee.

3. With his petition to the
deputy Commissioner of
Kolar, dated 5th April 1886,
praying for deduction of
chowthagee amount from
the amount of assessment
he ~~had to~~ owed to Govern^t,
Chendrasekharaiah produced
in original the endorsement
granted him by the Survey
Superintendent in reply to
his petition to that officer,
pointing out that the Survey
rates on his lands were
excessive. In it, a Compa-
-rison is made between the
extent of land as specified
in the original pattah
of the year 1848 and that
of the land as reckoned
in

+ No 1338

in the Survey Settlement. This collation exhibited that the pattedar enjoyed 47 acres and 19 guntas in excess of what had been specified in the old puttah. From a careful consideration of the wordings of the old puttah, from the statement therein made that such and such a rate should be levied on such and such an item, if the place was provided with wells &c — the source of water supply — and also from the fact that the rates specified therein exceeded those adopted in the Survey Settlement, the endorsement relates, it could not be alleged that the then authorities who issued the puttah meant that the puttah was one of a permanent tenure as pleaded by the defendant. It

It terminates by saying that because it was stated a fresh water supply from wells & sea had been provided for, for the cultivation of wet crops, the Survey Supt was of opinion that he would grant a Chowthayee remission by treating the source of water supply as wells & sea dug at the cost of a private individual, but not at all events allow reduction in the dry rates.

4. In the preamble of the old puttah adverted to in the above endorsement, a description as also the boundaries of the only dry and pasture lands situate at Pypalya and Meetmieray villages is given. These lands are granted to Esrunnah, the father of the joint puttedar Narasappa and Naiyoondiah, the

brother

brother of the appellant.
After that the following
statements are made:—

"Your darkhast for
"the lands has been accepted,
"and in token thereof, this
"puttah is granted to you.
"... Should you construct
"at your own cost, wells &c
"and thus provide water
"supply, and by that means
"raise wet crops, you will
"have to pay such and
"such a rate for such
"and such a wet crop
(the different crops and
their rates are specified
in the puttah) ....." If
"the lands should remain
"uncultivated for some
"reason or other, you must
"pay up without the
"slightest objection the
"aforesaid sum viz 9 varahas+
"and 8 hanas+ a year.

Should

+ Pagodahs
+ Fanams

"Should you also raise
"dry crop on pasture
"lands, you must pay
"the rate stated in that
"behalf. For the income
"or loss that may accrue
"to you in respect of these
"lands, you are amenable
"and the Sirkar have
"nothing to do with it.....

5. In his report to the Deputy Commissioner on a petition from Chendrasekhariah to the address of the Deputy Commr., which was referred to him for report of facts of the case, the Amildar stated that with reference to the lands in question, a remark was made in the Physical Patrika of the Survey Settlement to the effect that the Puttedar did neither consent to the rates settled by the Survey Deptt., nor expressed dissent to the same. The repair

which

which the petitioner alleged to have done to the tanks were not legalized by an order from the Deputy Commissioner. The Survey Superintendent's endorsement as recognizing the petitioner's claim for remission of Chowthayee, might have tended to afford room to the petitioner to foster hopes of contention for remission of $\frac{1}{4}$ wet assessment.

6. The Deputy Commissioner observed that recommendation would be made for ~~showing~~ the Concession of chowthayee as prayed for by the appellant Chendrasekhariah if enough of proof was adduced by him to show that the repairs for of the tanks were executed at his private cost.

7. Chendrasekhariah was thereupon summoned

to

to appear before the Amildar to produce the papers and other records he might have in support of his Cause, but he having failed to do so fresh orders were issued for the resale of the lands.

8. Meanwhile, the appeal petition which was presented at the Dewan's Office was forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner for submission of proceedings and report. The Deputy Commissioner in his turn forwarded the same to the Amildar. And the Amildar on 6th June 1891 examined the appellant Chendran Kharial and Latchmanarnappa the Shanbogue of Mutmeeray.

This Shanbogue in his statement

statement deposed that the tanks were constructed at their own expense by Lingappa the appellant's father and Nanjundiah the appellant's brother. The tanks were constructed in the years Plavanga and Keelaka. These tanks were ~~constructed~~ not incorporated in the list of "Kattilagavadai" tanks. No repairs had been done to them by Sircar, nor were they constructed by Sircar. He could not estimate what amount was spent in the construction. The tanks were in good order.

The appellant Chandrasekhariah stated that his father and Nanjundiah his brother built the tanks in the year Keelaka. The amount of construction and repairs to the tanks came ~~about~~ to upwards of Rs: 300/. The accounts of

of details for the same were destroyed by rain and he could not therefore render any test and could not besides aver that only so many rupees were expended over construction and repairs.

No. 256 dated 12th June 1891.

9. The Amildar reported that the inquiries he instituted showed that no repairs were done to the tanks at Government expense, but on the other hand, they were all carried out by the appellants' father and brother. After deducting the amount already recovered from him, only a sum of Rs: 171-2 remained uncollected. Of this sum, an annual sum of Rs: 18-6-4 should be remitted towards Chowthaya, thus making a total sum of Rs: 110-6- qualified

for

for remission. What remained to be recovered still from Chendrasekhariah was therefore only Rupees 60-12-0, which he promised to pay soon after the settlement of his claim. The Amildar further stated that he personally went over to the spot and visited the 2 tanks. One of them required repairs to the extent of Rs: 300/. The Construction of this tank was of an ordinary muster, the other tank being more or less similar in Construction.

10. In these circumstances of the Case, the present appeal to Government is preferred.

H Venkatanarasiah

Precis

[illegible]

[illegible]

No. (2) 2909

L.R. 125-91-I

(General Serial No. 30).

(3)

189

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner Kolar District

Dated 4th

Despatched 5th march /91

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No. 1070.

Has the honor to forward petition No 2909 dated 4th instant from Chendrasekharian of Sovrapally, Bagepalli Taluk, for attention and report.

2 The Survey Superintendent's Endorsement No 1333 dated 5th May 1885 shews that the Survey Department is prepared to Entertain Petitioner's claim for remission of 1/4 of net assessment on land irrigated by the Tank constructed by Petitioner. The Deputy Commissioner's Endorsement No dated

Forwarded Dated 9-7-92

R. 28-91-2

22

does not deny the petitioner's claim but says that pending its settlement Petitioner should pay up the arrears outstanding in the accounts.

3. Under such circumstances the Deputy Commissioner is informed that Petitioner seems entitled to a more considerate treatment at the hands of Govt. officers, and unless the delay in the settlement of Petitioner's claim to Chowthayi remission is due entirely to his own lasches, the demand for an amount of arrear equal to the Chowthayi claimed should be held in abeyance and steps taken to decide Petitioner's claim soon on its merits one way or another.

4. Pending final orders on the Dy. Commissioner's report sale which is stated to be fixed for the 7th instant should not be confirmed by Deputy

Deputy Commr.

5. The report herein called for, should be submitted as soon as possible.

"By order"

Drafted as per Chief Secy's order —

[illegible] 4/3

Chief Secretary

(General Serial No. 30).

189/.

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Rolo District

(4)

To
The Chief Secretary
to the Revenue
of Mysore

Dated 9th
Despatched 10th
Received

Enclosures No. 1 file

General No. 1095
No. 264.

Submitting report
on the petition of Thos.
Lakshmiah of Koorapuli
Bagepally Talwak

L.R. 128-91-3

Pratapa 14/7/91

13/7/91

~~Seat Submitted~~
~~23/7/91~~ ~~AR~~

Venkatnarasiah for
precis - Precis [illegible]
23/7/91
AR

Submitted for orders -
18/11/91 AR

It appears from the
Ver: papers [illegible] by the
Dy. that if the Tanks were
recognized as private enter-
prise Tanks a remission
of Rs 18-6-4 per annum from
Parthiva would be due -
The Dy. should hold the
demand for this amount
in abeyance; place
himself in commn with
the Survey Dept for the
needful final decision
whether or not the Tank
should be regarded as a private
Enterprise Tank with the
lands under it entitled to
a remission of 4 Rs assessment
& give effect to the decision
in due course - Govt cannot
~~[illegible]~~ decide this question
until they have before them
a district finding and
decision by the ~~[illegible]~~
Survey Supt with the grounds
~~[illegible]~~ for the same -
[illegible]

When the draft is brought up
as above buy up Dy's petition
dy 12 Jany 91 alluded to in
his petn Regt No 2909 dy 6 March 91
[illegible] 10 p 32 14-7-91

The same No 4955 dy 18/6/92

Deputy Commissioner's office
Kolar District

No. 1095 on file
264
To

Dated 9/10 July 1891.

(4)

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

Adverting to your docket No. 1070 dated the 4th March 1891 forwarding for report a petition from Chendrasekariah of Soorapalli Bagepalli Taluk, I have the honor to submit for information and obliging return the accompanying file of records ending with the Amildar's Yergi No. 256 of the 12th Ultimo on the subject.

Herewith returned with Enclosures

2. It will be observed from the same that the petitioner claims a Chowthaige permission in respect of 2 tanks constructed by his father and brother within the limits of Soorapalli nagara Village at an alleged cost of about Rs. 3,000 for which the petitioner would furnish no details or accounts.

3. The Amildar who has personally inspected

inspected the tanks reports that their present condition is as shewn in the margin+ and that the Petitioner states that he will Execute the needful repairs after the question of remission is settled.

4. The tanks under notice do not appear to have been included in the list of Hathlagavad Tanks for settlement by the survey Department and the omission was probably due to the same having been constructed prior to the issue of the circular of 1863 on the subject of the construction of private tanks and wells.

5. As however the survey Superintendent is prepared to admit the Petitioner's claim for remission of 1/4 of wet assessment on land irrigated by the tanks in supercession of the terms as imposed on the Petitioner by the old Putta issued to him in 1848 by the Superintendent of the late Bangalore division, I see no objection to the grant of the Concession prayed for provided the Petitioner

Puts

+ 1. Bettadevara-Bund needs repairs to the value of Rs 300. It has been breached for re-
Kunte. of Rs 300. It has been breached for re-
Lairing the Sluice. Revetment in
pretty good order.

2. Hosakere. — Bund in order. Revetment pretty
good. Sluice out of order.

puts his tanks in thorough order within a given time and maintains them in in an Efficient state at all times.

6. The sale of the Petitioner's land in satisfaction of the arrears due by him has as directed been held in abeyance pending receipt of final orders in the case.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant.

[signature]

Deputy Commissioner

[illegible]

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From

The Chief Secty. to the Govt. of Mysore

To

The Dy. Commr., Kolar Dt.

Dated

Despatched

Received

June/92.

Enclosures No. Nil

General No. 4958 R.

P No 79

R 128 91-A

Provide issued on 12/9/92

Dis. No. 1005/204 dt. 9th July/91

submitting report on the petition of Chendra Sekar Koh of Soorapalli, Bagepalli Talu. &c.

—o—

Has the honor to observe that it appears from the ver: papers received with the above that if the Tanks were recognized as Private enterprise Tanks a remission of Rs 18.6.4 per annum from Parthiva would be

The Dy. Commr. is

will ~~requested to~~ hold the demand for this amount in abeyance, place himself in communication with the Survey Dept for the needful final decision whether or not the Tank should be regarded as a Private Enterprise Tank with the lands under it entitled to a remission of 1/4th assessment and give effect to the decision in due course. Govt cannot decide this question until they have before them a distinct finding and decision by the Survey Supdt and the grounds for the same.

By order,

Draft
(Secy's order)

[illegible] 14/6

Chief [illegible]

No. 47.

12071
3243

189.

From The Superintendent Mysore Revenue Survey

To The Chief Secretary to the ~~The Revenue Survey~~ Dewan in Mysore.

Dated } Bangalore 11th May 1893
Despatched }
Received.

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 1104. } 1893.
No.

Submitting a supplementary statement of a private tank in the Bagepalli Taluk for which 1/4 remission of wet assessment is claimed and recommended.

Rev Branch
11/5/93



451

MAXIMUM RETAIL PRICE
INCLUSIVE OF ALL TAXES

12.00

No 1104

Survey Superintendent's Office
Bangalore 11th May 1893

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

In continuation of my Docket No. 380 (21) dated 30th August 1888 submitting a Statement of Private Tanks in the Bagepalli Taluk and with reference to Government Orders thereon No. 909 (22) dated 3rd September 1888, I have the honor to submit for the approval of Government my settlement in the case mentioned in the accompanying supplementary statement.

2. The above case was taken up

-up on being specially referred by the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District in his Docket No. 306/202 of 22nd July 1892. It was not in-cluded in the settlement of such cases co-currant with the Survey Settlement.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servant
[illegible]
Supt. Mysore Rev. Survey

Supplementary Statement of Lands and [illegible] for which remission of the wat

Taluq	Number	Village	Name of original applicant	Name of present holder	Order on which claim is based	Wet (rice and garden) land by Survey now occupied					
						Survey Number	Extent		Assessment		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9		
Mitimari		Mitimari	Malikharjaniah bin Shankarappa & Chinpalli Narsappa bin Yerappa, joint	Shankarappa & Narsingappa joint	Bangalore Division Supdt Captain Hayne's No. 34 dated 22nd February 1848	133	2	12	14	"	"
						142	4	9	25	"	"
						149	4	16	21	"	"
						348	"	21	3	"	"
						Total	11	26	63	"	.

under a private tank in the Bagepalli assessment is claimed & recommended.

Remarks

10

No. 34 of 22nd February 1848 by Division Supt. Hayens justifies the conclusion that the tank on which the wet lands of applicants in Mitimari are dependent was really constructed by private enterprise.

Muchalka of 22nd December 1848 with above confirms and gives effect to above order No. 34. This is a very old case and I think it would be hard to refuse 1/4 remission of wet assessment. No particular tank is specified but the land when granted had no tank.

1/4 remission of wet assessment may be allowed.

[signature]

Supt. Mysore Red. Survey.

(General Serial No. 30.) (9) 7640

1894.

From The Deputy Commission Kolar District

Pet: 345

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 4th December

Despatched 16

Received

Enclosures No. 1.

General No. 2112

No. 492

His docket No 4958/P79 dated the 8th June 1892 regarding the petition of Chendra Sekhariah of Loorafalli Bagepally Talook claiming a Chowthaiya remission in respect of the marginally noted private tanks.

With reference to the above, has the

Subject to the Chief Secy

Survey Supt's letter to Govt. has not been received in the Revn Branch & Transferred to the Rev Branch;

+1 Bettadguara-Kunte } Situated in Loorafalli
2 Hosakere } Majara Village Bagepally Talook

3/9/92

honor to submit the accompanying copy of the Survey Superintendent's docket 10514 dated the 25th ultimo from which it will be observed that the tanks in question have been recognized as private enterprise tanks and a remission of 1/4th wet assessment in respect of certain lands thereunder recommended to government. —

35

[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner
Tam

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

1894

(9)

From
The Superintendent
Mysore Revenue Survey

To The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

L.R. 128-91-7

Dated
Despatched 26th } November 94
Received 27-11-94

Enclosures No.

General No. 314
No.

His docket No: 1024/624 of 8th September 1894.

Has the honour to intimate in reply that the tanks referred to in the above have been recognized as private inter-private tanks and a remission of 1/4 wet assessment in respect of Survey Numbers as noted in the margin.

Survey No.	Extent	assessment
163	2·14	14
142	4·9	25
149	4·16	27
348	0-21	3
		69

recommended to Government.

37

(Signed) J. P. Grant
Supt. Mysore Rev:
Survey.

Copy
A R Mumford
for Deputy Commissioner

Item

(12)

1895

To

The Superintendent
Revenue Survey
Mysore

R. 128-91-8

No. 2731 / P.F. 10-92 } 4-9-95 / 9.

Has the honor to request that he will be so good as to forward to this office a copy* of the letter referred to in his docket No. 314 dated 26th November 1894 to the Dr Commissioner Kolar District, as having been addressed to Government recommending permission of ¼ wet assessment in respect of certain lands at Soorapalli, Bagepalli Taluk

By order

4-9-95

Rev. Secretary

4/9

*: vide S. 1 of this file.

(General Serial No. 30.)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 2073
13 SEP 95
DEWAN'S OFFICE

189

From

The Supt. Mysore Revenue Survey

To

The ~~Chief~~ Revenue Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore 12th September 1895

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.s 2

General No. 521
No.

In reply to Revenue Secretary's Docket

SUBJECT.

No 2731 / P.710-92 dated 4th / 9 September/95

Has the honor to forward as desired copy of this Office No 1104 dated 11th May 1893 recommending remission of 1/4th wet assessment in respect of certain lands at Surpalli attached to Mitimari village Bagepalli Taluk.

Supt. Mysore Rev. Survey

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

P.F. 608
1749

L.R. 128-91-9

* with its accompaniment

This paper is detached from file 4, to files 1, 2 & 3. Another copy of this is in file 4. & this must rightly go with Sl. 21 of files 1, 2 & 3.
K.S. 8.7.02

No. 1104

13/9

Survey Superintendent's Office

Bangalore 11th May 1893

To

The Chief Secretary to the

Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

In continuation of my Docket No 380 dated 30th August 1888 submitting a statement of private tanks in the Bagepalli Taluk and with reference to Government Orders thereon No 909 dated 3rd September 1888 I have the honour to submit for the approval of Government my settlement in the case mentioned in the accompanying supplementary statement

2 The above case was taken up on

being

being specially referred to by the

Deputy Commissioner Kolar District in

his Docket No $\frac{306}{202}$ of 28th July 1892. It was

included in the settlement of such cases co-

-current with the survey settlement.

I have &c.

(Signed) J. P. Grant

Supt. Mysore Revenue Survey

"Copy

[signature]

Supt. Mysore Rev.d Survey

Supplementary Statement of which 1/4 remission of the

Magni	Number	Village	Name of original applicant	Name of present holder	Orders on which claim is based	Wet (rice and garden) land by survey now occupied: Survey No	Extent		Assessment		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9		
Mitimari		Mitimari	Malikharjuniah bin Shenkarappa and Chinpalli Narsappa bin yerappa, joint.	Shenkarappa and Narsingappa joint	Bangalore Dn. Supt: Captain Heyens' No 34 dated 22nd February 1848	138	2	14	14	..	..
						142	4	9	25	..	..
						149	4	16	27	..	..
						348	..	21	3	..	..
							11	20	69	..	..

lands under private tank in the Bagepalli Taluk for wet assessment is claimed and recommended.

Remarks:-

10

No 34 of 22nd February 1848 by Division Supt: Hargens' justifies the conclusion that the tank on which the wet lands of applicants in Mitimani are dependent was really constructed by private enterprize.

Muchalka of 22nd December 1848 with the above confirms and gives effect to above order No 34.

This is a very old case and I think it would be hard to refuse 1/4 remission of wet assessment. No particular tank is specified but the land when granted had no tank. 1/4 remission of wet assessment may be allowed.

(signed) J. P. Grant

Supt. Mysore Revenue Survey

"Copy"

J. P. Grant

Supt. Mysore Revenue Survey

(1)

Read: —

Letter no: 1095 & 264

9-7-89, from the Dy: Com[illegible]

Kolar, regarding the ~~contention~~ claim

of Chendrasekariah of Soorappa[illegible]

village in the Bagepalli Taluk

for Chowthaji Remission of as-

-cessment on certain wet lands

below private tanks.

2) Letter no 1104 & 11-5-92

from the Supdt. of Rev. Survey, in

myrol recommending the grant

of Chowthaji for the said lands

order thereon: ~~883~~-5 / ~~17-10-92~~

A) 18/20 [illegible] for certain dry & pasture

lands in the Mittamira village

of the Bagepalli Taluk were

obtained by darkast in

February 1948 by Eramat

Nanjundappa of the same

R. 128-91-10

village, subject to the condition of paying ~~certain~~ fixed rates of assessment in case they should construct thereon any source of irrigation to these lands at their ~~private~~ own cost. Sometime after the grant, two tanks were constructed on the lands ~~at the cost of~~ by Linganna & Ranjundappa, who however continued to pay the rates of assessment previously fixed at the time of the grant.

2) During the Survey Settlement of 1885-86 when wet rates were fixed on these lands, the parties concerned offered no objection but contended later on that they were entitled to Khoothagi remission for all the lands below the two private tanks.

3) When, in 1889-90, the Revenue on the lands fell into arrears & coercive measures had to be adopted for the levy thereof, a petition was presented before Govt for the Stay of such measures & for the grant of Chowthayi remission.

4) On a reference to the Supt. of Rev.- Survey in mysore, he recognized the claim of the applicant Chendrasekaragga on behalf of his minor nephew Mallikarjunagga and recommended the grant of the Chowthayi remission, ~~inspite of the clear wording of the original grant of 1848 to the contrary.~~ The Dy: Comr. has also expressed his concurrence with the Supt. of Rev: Survey.

5) In these circumstances,

Govt: are pleased to grant the Chowthayi Remission of Rs 17-~~4~~-0 to the petitioner on account of the lands noted in the margin. The Dy: Comr: will of course collect the balance of Revenue arrears, if any outstanding, in the usual course.

116

(17-4-0)

S. No:	Extent: A. G	Asstt: Rs
138	2-14.	14.
142.	4-9.	25.
149.	4.16.	27.
348.	0.21.	3.
-	11-20	69

6).

To issue from the Petn Bx

[signature] 18/11

[signature] 12.XI.02

[signature] 28/10/02 Secretary.

To,

The Dy: Comr: Kolar,

The Supdt: of Rev: Survey in Mysore

" Rev Sur Mysore in Mysore.

Send the file [illegible]

[illegible]